



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/792,255	03/02/2004	Trent M. Thomas	114048-23	3967
27189 7590 01/25/2008 PROCOPIO, CORY, HARGREAVES & SAVITCH LLP 530 B STREET SUITE 2100 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101			EXAMINER WEI, ZHENG	
			ART UNIT 2192	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 01/25/2008	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@procopio.com  
PTONotifications@procopio.com

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/792,255

Applicant(s)

THOMAS ET AL.

Examiner

Zheng Wei

Art Unit

2192

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 November 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 19-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 19-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Remarks***

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed on 11/01/2007.
2. Claims 1-18 and 31-39 have been canceled.
3. Claims 19 and 27-30 have been amended.
4. The objection to the specification is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment.
5. The objection to claims 35-39 is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment.
6. The 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph rejection to claims 2, 8, 27-30 and 35-39 is withdrawn in view of the Applicant's amendment.
7. The double patenting rejection to claims 1-8, 19 and 31-32 is withdrawn in view applicant's amendment of claim 19 and cancellation of claims 1-18 and 31-32.
8. Claims 19-30 remain pending and have been examined.

### ***Response to Arguments***

9. Applicant's arguments filed on 11/01/2007 with respect to claims rejection have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection for the amended claims.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

11. Claims 19-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Redford (Redford et al., US 5,711,672) in view of Owens (Owens et al., US 5,555,416)

Claim 19:

Redford discloses a method of managing a plurality of software programs for use with a computer device in accordance with special instructions contained on storage media external to or removable from the computer device, said method comprising:

- activating the storage media to establish communication with the computer device (see for example, Fig.1D, step 113, 115, "interrupts" and related text);
- providing from the control file a list of the plurality of software programs located on the storage media (see for example, ;
- selecting one of the plurality of software programs for execution (see for example, Fig.1D, step 117, "Is DSIGOKEY.exe present in removable storage media peripheral which caused interrupt?");
- providing special instructions in a control sub-file located on the storage media for each of the selected software programs, control sub-file including configuration information, software launching information; and data file storage information execution (see for example, Fig.1D, step 117, "Is

DSIGOKEY.exe present in removable storage media peripheral which caused interrupt?");

- transferring files and configuring the computer device from a first state in accordance with the configuration information, wherein upon configuration the computer device is in a second state execution (see for example, Fig.1D, step 117-129, transferring DISGO.BAT and storing at variable X; executing instruction file and related text);
- launching the selected software in accordance with the software launching information (see for example, Fig.1D, step 129, "Execute X:\DISGO.bat" and related text).;
- monitoring events to determine various stages in the operation of the selected software (see for example, Fig.3B1, step 339, "Check if status of current peripheral has changed?" and related text; also see steps 378-385 about removing current peripheral); and
- unconfiguring the computer device upon termination of the software program by removing files transferred to the computing device to essentially return the computer device to the first state (see for example, Fig.3B1, steps 378-385 about removing current peripheral )

But does not explicitly disclose determining that the control file indicates the presence of the plurality of software programs located on the storage media.

However, Owens in the same analogous art of automated software installation and operating environment configuration, discloses the same feature about

determining the installation programs/files on the storage media (pre-install class, an install class or a post-install class) according to the control file (rules file) (see for example, Fig.2, items 30', 36', 38' and related text; also see Fig.5, steps 68, 70, 72, 74 and 76 and related text). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to verify the execution condition including the presence of installation files on the storage media in order to pick different execution paths according to the presence of the installation files. One would have been motivated to do so to select the correct solution by determining or verifying all the installation files (see for example, Fig.5, steps 68, 70, 72, 74 and 76 and related text)

Claim 20:

Redford also discloses the method of claim 19, further comprising associating each of the plurality of software programs with a corresponding control file information (see for example, Fig.1D, step 129, "Execute X:\DISGO.bat" and related text).

Claim 21:

Redford further discloses the method of claim 20, wherein the list of software programs only includes the programs having a corresponding control file information (see for example, Fig.1D, step 129, "Execute X:\DISGO.bat" and related text).

Claim 22:

Redford also discloses the method of claim 19, further comprising copying one or more files to said computer device to create said second state, wherein the files include one or more of the following: linked library files, device drivers, path information, environmental information, and registry entries (see for example, Fig.3B1, steps 345-353 about reading an identifier, flagging current peripheral and loading application to memory and relate text).

Claim 23:

Redford further discloses the method of claim 22, wherein said unconfiguring step comprises removing all files copied to create said second state (see for example, Fig.3B1, steps 378-385 about unloading and restoring computer device and related text).

Claim 24:

Redford also discloses the method of claim 19, further comprising: selecting a plurality of software programs for execution; configuring the computer device for each of the plurality of software programs selected by copying one or more files to said computer device, wherein the files include one or more of the following: linked library files, device drivers, path information, environmental information, and registry entries information (see for example, Fig.1D, step 129, "Execute

X:\DISGO.bat" and related text about DSIGO.bat file).

Claim 25:

Redford also discloses the method of claim 24, further comprising unconfiguring the computer device upon termination of each of the executed software programs by removing any files copied during configuration and any new files created during execution of each terminated software program (see for example, Fig.3B1, steps 378-385 about unloading and restoring computer device and related text).

Claim 28:

Redford also discloses the method of claim 19, wherein the storage medium comprises an optical drive (see for example, Fig.3A, element 220, "CD ROM DRIVE").

Claims 26 and 27

Redford and Owens disclose the computer-readable medium of claim 19 above, but does not explicitly disclose wherein the computer-readable medium comprises a CompactFlash/flash memory drive. However, it is well known in the computer art that CompactFlash(CF) is a type of data storage device used in portable electronic devices by using flash memory in a standardized enclosure. It is first specified and produced by ScanDisk in 1994 and had been widely used for



a variety of devices when this invention was made. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use CompactFlash/flash memory drive as a portable data storage media to save software, data and instruction file as Redford disclosed.

#### Claim 30

Redford and Owens discloses the computer-readable medium of claims 19 above, but does not explicitly disclose wherein the computer-readable medium comprises an external hard disk drive. However, it is well known in the computer art that external hard disk drive as a portal data storage media can be used to save/store computer readable data information. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use external hard disk drive to store the instructions as Redford disclosed for automatically starting execution and ending execution of process from a removable storage media.

#### ***Conclusion***

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zheng Wei whose telephone number is (571) 270-1059 and Fax number is (571) 270-2059. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:00-15:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam can be reached on (571) 272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 2100 Group receptionist whose telephone number is 571- 272-1000.

Application/Control Number:  
10/792,255  
Art Unit: 2192

Page 10

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ZW

*Eric B. Kiss*  
ERIC B. KISS  
PRIMARY EXAMINER